Letters to the Editor

THOUGHTS ON ADAPTATION

To the Editor:

I continue to read with interest each issue of ANS and wish to commend the editor and editorial board for the journal's significant contribution to the development of nursing science and scholarly dialogue.

The issue on "Stress and Adaptation" (ANS 1:4, July 1979) and follow-up letter to the editor by Crate Habeeb (ANS 2:2, January 1980) were most stimulating and thought-provoking for me. As a theorist dealing with adaptation, I accept the challenge to "examine the humanistic, scientific and ethical considerations undergirding" the concept of adaptation and its relationship to health.

Again, my thanks for your fine work, and I hope you will see its fruits reflected in ongo-

ing efforts within the nursing community, particularly my own.

Sister Callista Roy, R.N., Ph.D., F.A.A.N. Los Angeles, California

Letters received from readers in response to articles and ideas published in ANS are regularly featured, providing an opportunity for constructive critique, discussion, disagreement, and comment intended to stimulate the development of nursing science. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that letters addressed to the editor are intended for publication with your name and affiliation. As many letters as possible are published. When space is limited and we cannot publish all letters received, we select letters reflecting the range of opinions and ideas received. If a letter merits a response from an ANS author or Open Forum participant, we will obtain a reply and publish both letters.